

| Party | Attorney | Amt. Of Time (min.) | Issues |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Citizen Petitioners and Intervenors | Andrew Jay Schwartzman <i>Media Access Project</i> | 17 | He will address the standard of review and the overarching issues the case presents with respect to the public interest standard of the Communications Act and the Administrative Procedure Act |
| Citizen Petitioners and Intervenors | Glenn Manishin <i>Kelley Drye & Warren LLP</i> | 7 | He will address the Commissions' analysis of the record with respect to competition and, especially, the Commission's "diversity index." |
| Citizen Petitioners and Intervenors | Angela Campbell <i>Institute for Public Representation</i> <i>Georgetown University Law Center</i> | 16 | She will address each of the rules still at issue, including the local television, local radio and cross-media ownership rules and the UHF discount. |
| Capitol Broadcasting Corp. | Jerome Marcus <i>Berger and Montague, P.C.</i> | 5 | Will address the UHF discount. |
| | | | |
| MMTC | Nicolaine Lazarre | 15 | <p>1. Whether, when it repealed Failing Station Solicitation Rule ("FSSR"), the FCC erroneously (1) failed to provide any notice that FSSR was under review, (2) acted contrary to congressional direction; (3) failed to consider the reason the FSSR was created, (4) acted without any record evidence and (5) failed to rationally explain its decision.</p> <p>2. Whether the FCC erroneously (1) failed to meaningfully analyze and rationally review three MMTC proposals aimed at preserving broadcast ownership by disadvantaged and minority businesses, (2) failed to mention the existence of the five other MMTC proposals aimed at preserving broadcast ownership by disadvantaged and minority businesses; and (3) failed to mention the existence of all five MMTC proposals aimed at fostering diversity and competition generally.</p> |
| | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|----|--|
| | | | |
| Networks | Henk Brands <i>Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton, & Garrison LLP</i> | 10 | Standard of review; challenges to the local TV ownership and local radio ownership rules; and if appropriate; challenges relating to the national TV ownership rule and UHF discount |
| Clear Channel | Miguel Estrada <i>Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher</i> | 10 | Proper construction of Section 202(h); challenge to the retention of the eight-station cap under the local radio rules; challenge to the restrictions on transfer under the local radio rules; and challenge to the attribution of additional sales and marketing agreements under the local radio rules |
| NAB and Emmis | Donald Verilli <i>Jenner & Block</i> | 10 | Challenges to the market definition in the local radio ownership rules and the Top-4 restriction in the local TV ownership rules. |
| Sinclair | Kathryn Schmeltzer <i>Shaw Pittman LLP</i> | 5 | Challenges to the Top-4 restriction in the local TV ownership rules and to the rule's treatment of triopolies. |
| Newspapers | Carter Phillips <i>Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP</i> | 10 | Challenges to the newspaper-television cross-ownership restrictions. |
| | | | |
| FCC | General Counsel John Rogovin | 35 | He will focus on the overarching issues presented in this case , including the standard of review and the effect of recent litigation on issues relating to the national television ownership limit. |
| FCC | Assoc. General Counsel Jacob Lewis | 45 | He will focus on the remaining issues , including issues relating to the local television, local radio, and cross-media ownership rules. |
| NAA, Belo Corp., Gannett Co., and Morris Communications | Richard Wiley <i>Wiley, Rein, & Fielding LLP</i> | 5 | Respondents ceded five minutes for Wiley to argue in support of the FCC's decision to eliminate the ban on common ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations in local markets. |
| Univision, Paxson | Barry Gottfried <i>Shaw Pittman LLP</i> | 5 | Respondents ceded five minutes for Gottfried to argue in support of the FCC's decision concerning the "UHF discount." |